SINGLE BENCH (4)

(1) CF 2007

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W. P. (S) No. 83 / 2007

PETITIONERS

1. Smt. Shakun Manikpuri, W/o. Shri Ravidas Manikpuri, aged about 44 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Girl's Middle School – Bankisite, Block – Katghora, Distt. – Korba (C.G.)

2. Bhuneshwar Datta Mishra, S/o. Shri Bhikhar Datta Mishra, aged about 43 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary School – Abhaypur, Janshiksha Kendra Bhelwadih, Block – Katghora, Distt. – Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 22651/06
Presented by Smt. A.S. Bajpai
dated 22/12/06

RECEIVED-COPY
Baldhu 27-12-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENT'S

1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through – The Secretary Department of Education / Tribal Welfare Department D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, District- Korba (C.G.)
3. District Education Officer, Distt.- Korba (C.G.)
4. Block Education Officer, Block – Katghora, Distt. -Korba

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG 2073/96 24/12/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, WRITS, DIRECTION ETC. TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(26)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 77 of 2007

Petitioners : Smt. Manju Shrivastava and another

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 80 of 2007

Petitioners : Ramkrishna Pandey and another

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 83 of 2007

Petitioners : Smt. Shakun Manikpuri and another

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Anil Singh Rajput, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 16th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge